

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ALESA LYN HART,

Plaintiff

v.

MARY DILLON and ULTA BEAUTY,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:21-cv-00750-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation, Overruling Objections,
Denying Motion for Attorney's Fees, and
Dismissing the Case**

[ECF Nos. 6, 9]

This is one of many cases filed by Hank Falstad purportedly acting as the attorney for each individual plaintiff. In this case, Falstad contends he has been engaged by plaintiff Alesa Lyn Hart to make the defendants comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) with respect to their buildings and sites. The complaint is signed by Falstad as "Architect for Plaintiff." ECF No. 1-1 at 21. It is not signed by Hart or an attorney representing Hart.

On May 7, 2021, Magistrate Judge Koppe ordered Hart to file an amended complaint because (1) Falstad is not an attorney, so he cannot represent Hart in this court; (2) the complaint was not signed by Hart or her attorney as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11(a); and (3) neither Hart nor Falstad paid the filing fee or applied for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. ECF No. 3 at 29-30. Judge Koppe therefore ordered Hart to file an amended complaint signed by her or her attorney and to pay the filing fee or file an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* by June 7, 2021. *Id.* at 30.

Hart did not file an amended complaint, pay the filing fee, or file an *in forma pauperis* application, so Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss this case without prejudice for failure to prosecute. ECF No. 6 at 28-29. Hart did not object, but Falstad did. Falstad's response largely consists of explaining who he is and what he hopes to achieve on behalf of making

1 building owners comply with the ADA. ECF No. 7. He also filed a supplemental response in
2 which he contends there should be a new special court to address whether a particular property is
3 ADA complaint. ECF No. 8. He contends no filing fee is required because the plaintiff is
4 reporting a case to the executive branch of government. *Id.* at 2. He does not address the other
5 points raised in Judge Koppe's order. He also filed a motion for attorney's fees, labeled as
6 "invoice," in which he contends that he is entitled to attorney's fees in the amount of \$6,000,000
7 as a prevailing party. ECF No. 9 at 1.

8 I review de novo Judge Koppe's recommendation to dismiss this case. Fed. R. Civ. P.
9 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Falstad cannot represent Hart because he
10 is not an attorney. *See Simon v. Hartford Life, Inc.*, 546 F.3d 661, 664 (9th Cir. 2008) ("It is well
11 established that the privilege to represent oneself *pro se* provided by [28 U.S.C.] § 1654 is
12 personal to the litigant and does not extend to other parties or entities."). Additionally, Hart did
13 not comply with Judge Koppe's order to file an amended complaint signed by her or her counsel
14 as Rule 11(a) requires, nor did Hart pay the filing fee or seek *in forma pauperis* status. Judge
15 Koppe properly weighed the factors supporting dismissal based on Hart's failure to comply with
16 the order and I adopt her analysis. *See* ECF No. 6 at 28-29.

17 Falstad's argument that no filing fee is necessary because this is a complaint to the
18 executive branch is meritless. This is a lawsuit filed in federal court. And the defendants are a
19 private individual and a commercial enterprise. Neither of them is a member of the executive
20 branch. I therefore overrule Falstad's objections and adopt Judge Koppe's recommendation to
21 dismiss this case without prejudice.

22 I deny Falstad's request for attorney's fees. He is not an attorney nor has he or Hart
23 prevailed in this action.

1 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
2 **(ECF No. 6) is accepted**, interested party Hank Falstad's motion for attorney's fees **(ECF No. 9)**
3 **is denied**, and this case is dismissed without prejudice.

4 I FURTHER ORDER the clerk of court to close this case.

5 DATED this 19th day of August, 2021.

6
7 

8 ANDREW P. GORDON
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23